A Comprehensive Review of Overactive Bladder Pathophysiology: On the Way to Tailored Treatment
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Abstract

Context: Current literature suggests that several pathophysiological factors and mechanisms might be responsible for the nonspecific symptom complex of overactive bladder (OAB).

Objective: To provide a comprehensive analysis of the potential pathophysiology underlying detrusor overactivity (DO) and OAB.

Evidence acquisition: A PubMed-based literature search was conducted in April 2018, to identify randomised controlled trials, prospective and retrospective series, animal model studies, and reviews.

Evidence synthesis: OAB is a nonspecific storage symptom complex with poorly defined pathophysiology. OAB was historically thought to be caused by DO, which was either "myogenic" (urgency initiated from autonomous contraction of the detrusor muscle) or "neurogenic" (urgency signalled from the central nervous system, which initiates a detrusor contraction). Patients with OAB are often found to not have objective evidence of DO on urodynamic studies; therefore, alternative mechanisms for the development of OAB have been postulated. Increasing evidence on the role of urothelium/suburothelium and bladder afferent signalling arose in the early 2000s, emphasising an afferent "urotheliogenic" hypothesis, namely, that urgency is initiated from the urothelium/suburothelium. The urethra has also recently been regarded as a possible afferent origin of OAB—the "urethrogenic" hypothesis. Several other pathophysiological factors have been implicated, including metabolic syndrome, affective disorders, sex hormone deficiency, urinary microbiota, gastrointestinal functional disorders, and subclinical autonomic nervous system dysfunctions. These various possible mechanisms should be considered as contributing to diagnostic and treatment algorithms.

Conclusions: There is a temptation to label OAB as "idiopathic" without obvious causation, given the poorly understood nature of its pathophysiology. OAB should be seen as a complex, multifactorial symptom syndrome, resulting from multiple potential pathophysiological mechanisms. Identification of the underlying causes on an individual basis may lead to the definition of OAB phenotypes, paving the way for personalised medical care.

Patient summary: Overactive bladder (OAB) is a storage symptom syndrome with multiple possible causes. Identification of the mechanisms causing a patient to experience OAB symptoms may help tailor treatment to individual patients and improve outcomes.

© 2019 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. **Introduction**

Overactive bladder (OAB) was defined in 2002 by the International Continence Society (ICS) as a storage symptom syndrome characterised by “urgency, with or without urgency urinary incontinence (UUI), usually with increased daytime frequency and nocturia” [1]. The ICS also acknowledged within this definition that these symptoms are usually “suggestive of urodynamically demonstrable detrusor overactivity but can be due to other forms of urethra-vesical dysfunction” [1]. This definition helped increase the awareness within the medical community regarding storage lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and facilitated clinical research initiatives. Current guidelines propose a linear pathway, based purely on treatment invasiveness (conservative therapies and then drugs, minimally invasive surgery, and invasive surgery). However, the high rate of discontinuation of OAB medications [2] and the unclear results of recent randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing surgical options [3] highlight the limitation of this “one size fits all” approach.

Owing to the difficulty in identifying the underlying pathology for the development of OAB in most patients, it is often labelled as “idiopathic”. Recently, it has been suggested that there are several subtypes of OAB [4,5]. The aim of the present review is to provide an updated and comprehensive overview of the potential pathophysiology underlying OAB, with the hope that describing clinical phenotypes may lead to a personalised approach to therapy.

2. **Evidence acquisition**

A PubMed-based literature search was conducted in April 2018, screening for RCTs, prospective and retrospective series, animal model studies, and reviews about OAB pathophysiology and management. The search strategy included the following terms: “overactive bladder”, “urgency”, “bladder overactivity”, “storage symptoms”, “pathophysiology”, “aetiogenesis”, and “mechanism”, used alone or in combination. After removal of duplicates, two authors (B.P. and J.N.C.) independently screened the titles and abstracts of 5197 records for eligibility. The inclusion criteria were studies directly addressing the pathophysiology and mechanisms of OAB, and their possible therapeutic implications. Studies addressing male benign prostatic obstruction and/or enlargement as well as bladder pain syndrome/interstitial cystitis were excluded. The full texts of 294 potentially eligible studies were retrieved and screened. A flowchart of the selection process is shown in Fig. 1. Reference lists of articles selected in our initial search were also screened in order to identify other relevant manuscripts.
3. Evidence synthesis

Multiple contributory factors to OAB phenotypes have been proposed, and several potential OAB subtypes have been identified (Supplementary Fig. 1) [4,5] based on the underlying mechanism or pathophysiology, as summarised in Table 1.

### 3.1. Phenotyping according to urodynamic demonstration of detrusor overactivity

Detrusor overactivity (DO) is defined as “a urodynamic observation characterised by involuntary detrusor contractions during the filling phase which may be spontaneous or provoked” [1]. DO has often been assumed to be synonymous with OAB [4]; however, the presence of DO in only 50% of female OAB patients has prompted further research to consider the existence of alternative mechanisms relating to the role of the urothelium, suburothelium, urethra, and central nervous system (CNS) in the pathogenesis of OAB [4]. This suggests that a “bladder afferent signalling” mechanism contributes to the OAB symptom complex. Each one of these mechanisms exerts their effect at different levels of the bladder afferent pathway, and we outline these various hypotheses below.

#### 3.1.1. Myogenic hypothesis: urgency originating from the detrusor

The pioneering works of Brading suggest that DO-driven urgency is mostly related to myogenic dysfunction inherent to denervation-related supersensitivity. Drake et al. [6] later proposed that DO may result from histological changes of the detrusor, leading to abnormal electrical coupling of smooth muscle cells so that physiological micromotions become synchronised into active involuntary detrusor contraction [7]. However, other data suggest that increased afferent signalling resulting from urothelial/suburothelial dysfunction may contribute to uninhibited detrusor contractions [8] or that DO could be initiated from changes in central neural control of the micturition reflex (see Section 3.1.4). As the definition and diagnosis of DO are well standardised [1], this criterion has been regarded as a good candidate to profile OAB patients and has been investigated accordingly, but the results have been poor with regard to its impact on treatment outcomes [4,9]. Among the current treatment armamentarium, only the use of conservative management and vaginal application of oestradiol, antimuscarinics, sacral neuromodulation (SNM), or intradetrusor botulinum toxin injections are supported by level 1 evidence in patients with urodynamically proven DO [4].

#### 3.1.2. Urotheliogenic hypothesis: urgency originating from the bladder urothelium/suburothelium

In the late 1980s, studies demonstrated that either DO or an abnormal perception of bladder filling could provoke the sensation of urgency [10]. Since then, several studies have shown abnormal detrusor sensory function in patients with OAB [11–13]. The growing body of evidence on the role of increased activity of bladder afferents [4,8] supports the idea [4,5] of urgency resulting from urothelial/suburothelial dysfunction in some patients, which may not manifest as DO. UUI may indeed be less frequent in this subgroup of patients, but urinary frequency may be more common [12,14], and this is thought to be mediated by abnormal sensory and signalling properties of the urothelium and suburothelial fibroblasts [4,8] as well as possibly sympathetic dysfunction (see Section 3.2.6). Beyond the sensory function of the urothelium/suburothelium, spontaneous contractions of the mucosa itself, originating from the muscularis mucosae, have been suggested as a possible origin of urgency [15]. Drugs modulating the sensory pathways, bladder afferent firing, and release of neurotransmitters, such as botulinum toxin, β3-adrenergic receptor agonists, or phosphodiesterase inhibitors, from the urothelium may be regarded as valuable options in these patients [16]. Although the mechanisms of action have not been fully elucidated, biofeedback [17], antimuscarinics [18], and SNM [19] have been shown to reduce bladder oversensitivity and may also be considered as interesting options to tackle these urothelial/suburothelial dysfunctions.

#### 3.1.3. Urethrogenic hypothesis: urgency originating from the urethra

In the early 20th century, Barrington [20] described various component reflexes of micturition in cats. The second of these reflexes was evoked by running water through the urethra, and resulted in a strong bladder contraction mediated through pudendal and pelvic afferent and efferent signals [20]. Using an animal model, Jung et al. [21] found that activation of urethral afferents by urethral perfusion could modulate the micturition reflex and thus hypothesised that entry of urine into the proximal urethra in patients with stress urinary incontinence (SUI) may stimulate urethral afferents, inducing and/or increasing DO. Their findings were confirmed a few years later in healthy human volunteers with the hypothesis of an urethrovesical reflex [22]. Many patients experience urgency when moving from a sitting or lying position to a standing position. This sign has been postulated to be a clinical feature of OAB originated from the urethra [23]. These patients may not display DO on urodynamics, as the investigation is usually performed only in the sitting position and the catheter might partially seal the bladder.

### Table 1 – Possible OAB phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotyping according to pathophysiological factors</th>
<th>Phenotyping according to urodynamic demonstration of detrusor overactivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>Myogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective disorders</td>
<td>Urotheliogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex hormone deficiency</td>
<td>Urethrogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary microbiota</td>
<td>Supraspinai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td>Urotheliomyogenic: detrusor underactivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OAB = overactive bladder.
neck. It could also be hypothesised that patient positioning during filling cystometry may influence involuntary detrusor contractions.Valsalva-induced involuntary detrusor contractions have been proposed as a way to unmask DO in these patients [24]. It is fairly well established that surgical repair of SUI improves storage LUTS in some patients with mixed incontinence [25], but the role of SUI surgery for isolated OAB (notably dry OAB) in selected patients with “urethral urgency” has not been evaluated to date. Indeed, there is unlikely to be an appetite for such a trial, particularly if patients are already dry. Conversely, de novo urgency is reported to occur in over 10% of patients undergoing any form of surgery for SUI. In patients with pelvic organ prolapse, it has been postulated, as part of the integral theory, that urgency may result from a prematurely activated micturition reflex caused by a lax vagina’s inability to support bladder neck/proximal urethra stretch receptors, which could explain the high rates of OAB symptom resolution after surgical correction of pelvic organ prolapse [26].

While primary deterioration of urethral tone has been advocated as a possible cause of OAB, the concept of urethral sphincter instability (ie, urethral pressure variation during bladder filling) has been proposed as another mechanism of urethra-driven urgency, which may be due to a lack of pudendal or central neurological control [27]. Some evidence supports the role of SUI surgery in patients with urethral pressure variation [28]. By reporting that patients with refractory OAB successfully treated with SNM had an immediate recurrence of both DO and urethral pressure variation after bilateral modulation of the pudendal nerves (which stimulates urethral function), Groenendijk et al. [29] suggested that SNM might be a valuable option in patients with urethra-driven OAB. Duloxetine may hypothetically be an interesting option in patients with “urethral urgency” by increasing urethral tone and has been shown to be an effective treatment for OAB in an RCT [30].

Two other mechanisms of OAB symptoms due to severe SUI might be encompassed in this urethrogenic hypothesis. First, constant leakage in patients with severe SUI may result in a chronically underfilled, “defunctionalised” bladder. Such patients may develop artifactual DO or impaired compliance generating urgency [31]. Those bladder dysfunctions have been shown to resolve in many cases after surgical correction of SUI by restoring the physiological cycles of bladder storage and voiding [31]. The second mechanism would be pre-emptive urinary frequency to prevent incontinence episodes in patients with severe SUI.

3.1.4. Supraspinal hypothesis: urgency originating from the brain and brainstem

Central neural control of micturition has been studied extensively over the past few decades [32], and the decreased capacity to functionally integrate afferent information or reduced supraspinal inhibitory control on the micturition reflex has been suggested since the late 1990s as a possible pathophysiological mechanism of OAB with the emergence of functional brain imaging [33]. Increasing evidence supports the idea of two distinct subtypes of “brain OAB”: one with and one without DO [34]. The insula (lower bladder volumes) and anterior cingulate gyrus/supplementary motor area (higher volumes) may be neural characteristics of urgency without DO, while the neural signature of DO seems to be deactivation in the prefrontal cortex [34]. The difference in supraspinal activity between OAB patients with or without DO was confirmed by Tadic et al. [35], demonstrating that older age and a greater burden of white matter damage in patients with DO are associated with more severe functional urinary impairment. Several reports support the hypothesis that this “white matter disease” could be the anatomical substrate for the brain aetiology of OAB associated with DO, maybe through frontal hypoperfusion [36]. Behavioural therapies seem appropriate to treat “brain OAB” by offering the possibility of retraining the supraspinal network to function normally, as recently evidenced by Griffiths et al. [37]. Interestingly, these authors observed two patterns of brain reactions to bladder filling and were able to predict a response or nonresponse to biofeedback [37]. SNM has been shown to influence activity in several brain areas involved in micturition control and to promote neuroplastic reorganisation of cortical activity [38]. Some evidence suggests that posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) could also trigger plastic reorganisation of the cortical network involved in micturition control [39].

3.1.5. Detrusor underactivity

Significant efforts have been made by the urological community over the past few years to define underactive bladder symptom complex as a new clinical entity [40]. While underactive bladder is considered to be the clinical correlate of urodynamically defined detrusor underactivity, the voiding symptomatology of detrusor underactivity has been shown to overlap with OAB and urgency was reported to be the most common symptom in patients with urodynamically proven detrusor underactivity (seen in over 50% of patients) [41]. Not surprisingly, underactive bladder symptoms are associated with an increased prevalence of urgency, UII, and nocturia in a survey-based epidemiological study including 977 patients [42]. The occurrence of urinary urgency in patients with DO can also be attributed to the well-known DO/impaired contractility entity [43], which, in this context, is no doubt related to increased postvoid residuals and the subsequent reduced functional bladder capacity. In addition, urgency in detrusor underactivity can be attributed to urinary tract infections (UTIs) secondary to chronic urinary retention [44] or the impact of such retention on the urinary microbiota (see Section 3.2.5). Current data suggest that detrusor underactivity may result from urothelial/suburothelial dysfunction (urotheliogenic hypothesis) and/or from detrusor muscle dysfunction (myogenic hypothesis) [45]. Despite encouraging preliminary data on cholinesterase inhibitors, no treatment has yet been proved clinically effective in restoring detrusor contractility [46], and therefore, clean intermittent self-catheterisation is still regarded as the standard of care for these patients despite the fact that the effectiveness of catheterisation alone in
relieving storage LUTS in such patients has not been assessed clearly [47]. Potential future treatments such as procontractile drugs or stem cell therapy are currently under investigation but remain hypothetical [47], and SNM has been shown to be helpful in patients with detrusor underactivity and OAB symptoms, especially in those with some residual detrusor contractility and DO [44].

3.2. Phenotyping according to pathophysiological cofactors

3.2.1. Metabolic syndrome

A link between metabolic syndrome and OAB has been demonstrated in many studies, especially between obesity and OAB [48,49]. While this association was initially thought to be driven through benign prostatic hyperplasia/chronic prostatic inflammation [49], increasing evidence has shown that OAB occurs equally in both men and women with metabolic syndrome [48,49]. OAB may have its own pathophysiology in patients with metabolic syndrome, relying on increased mechanical load stimulating sensory afferents of the trigone and bladder neck, but also on oxidative stress, systemic inflammation, and insulin resistance that promote chronic pelvic ischaemia and urothelial dysfunction [48,49].

Most of the current established treatment options for OAB, such as antimuscarinics, SNM, and botulinum toxin, have been reported to be less effective in patients with metabolic syndrome, or at least less effective than in other patient populations [50–52]. In contrast, the β3-adrenoceptor agonist mirabegron, which was designed initially as an antidiobesity drug [53], was found to be equally effective in both obese and nonobese OAB patients [54], and might be well suited for this patient population although dose adjustment may be needed [55]. In the first RCT to date assessing the role of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in female patients, daily low-dose tadalafil was reported to be an effective and well-tolerated treatment for OAB [56]. Considering the pathophysiological role of the inflammatory and oxidative stress pathways in patients with metabolic syndrome and OAB, phosphodiesterase inhibitors might become a noteworthy therapeutic option in such patients, as supported by a growing number of animal model studies and preliminary clinical trials [57]. However, based on the available literature, treatments targeting obesity, such as weight loss programmes and bariatric surgery, may be regarded as the most effective therapeutic options by acting on the multiple mechanisms listed above, with cure rates for UUI being as high as 19% and 79%, respectively [58–60].

3.2.2. Affective disorders

While the impact of OAB may predispose affected individuals to anxiety and depressions, some evidence suggests that emotional stress and a history of anxiety/depression may be risk factors for the development of OAB in women [61]. This is not surprising in view of the central processing of afferent impulses in the limbic region of the brain. Recent data provided a detailed assessment of the temporal relationship between these symptoms, and demonstrated the influence of emotional stress and affective disorders on the natural history of OAB [61]. Hence, there may be a bidirectional association between affective disorders and OAB, with common underlying biological mechanisms resulting in co-occurrence of both disorders. Corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF) has been investigated as a possible common pathophysiological contributor to OAB and anxiety/depression [62]. The concomitant decrease in serum CRF levels and improvement of depression-induced OAB observed using a CRF receptor type 1 antagonist in a recent animal model study confirm this possible mechanism, while highlighting a possible therapeutic pathway for social stress-induced OAB [63]. Serotonin depletion has been postulated as another shared pathophysiological candidate for both anxiety/depression and OAB, as its role in affective disorders is well established and several experimental studies have demonstrated that lowering of serotonin levels in the CNS was accompanied by urinary frequency and DO [64,65]. Duloxetine, a noradrenaline-serotonin reuptake inhibitor, has been reported to improve significantly frequency and urgency in an RCT of female OAB patients [30] and may be a valuable candidate for the treatment of stress-induced OAB, as shown in animal studies [66]. Indeed, it is licensed for the treatment of depression at a lower dose than that studied for the treatment of SUI. Transient receptor potential (TRP) channel dysfunctions might also play a key role in the co-occurrence of affective disorders and OAB [67]. Central sensitisation, defined as increased responsiveness of nociceptive neurons in the CNS to normal or subthreshold afferent input, has recently been suggested as a last common pathophysiological cofactor of anxiety/depression and OAB [68]. Many of the pathophysiological mechanisms mentioned above may be common to social stress-induced OAB and functional gastrointestinal disorders/pelvic organ cross-talk OAB phenotypes (see Section 3.2.5) [69]. Duloxetine, TRP vanilloid (TRPV) antagonists, or CRF antagonists may target shared biological underpinning of anxiety/depression and OAB, and thus, might become treatment options for emotional stress-induced OAB. SNM is the most widely studied and properly assessed treatment in our current armamentarium in this population [70].

3.2.3. Sex hormone deficiency

The impact of sex hormone deficiency on the lower urinary tract in female patients has been clearly established with oestrogen and progesterone receptors demonstrated in the urethra, bladder, and pelvic floor muscles [71]. Epidemiological studies have supported the role of sex hormone deficiency in the aetiogenesis of LUTS, with up to 70% of women relating the onset of urinary incontinence to their final menstruations [71]. Several mechanisms could explain the role of oestrogen deprivation in the onset of urinary urgency such as increased detrusor contractility through Rho-kinase pathway activation, increased acetylcholine release, changes in urothelial afferent signalling, or increased connexin-43 expression [71,72]. OAB in these patients is commonly associated with UTIs and vulvovaginal symptoms such as vaginal dryness, itching, and dyspareunia, which have recently been defined as a symptom
complex known as genitourinary syndrome of menopause [73]. According to the latest Cochrane meta-analysis, the use of vaginal oestrogen may improve urinary incontinence, especially UUI [74], although the relevance of this option might be questioned in women without vaginal atrophy. In an RCT, Nelken et al. [75] reported similar effects when using a vaginal oestradiol ring or oral oxybutynin in postmenopausal women with OAB but with more side effects for oxybutynin, which reinforces the putative role of local oestrogen in these patients. The impact of menopausal status on the outcome of standard OAB treatments has not been evaluated except for mirabegron, with a recent prospective study reporting no influence of sex hormone levels on the clinical efficacy of the β3 agonist [76].

In contrast to women, evidence regarding sex hormone deficiency as a causative factor of OAB in men is scarce, but experimental studies suggest that testosterone may decrease detrusor excitability [77], improve bladder wall fibrosis [78], and may impact urothelial mediator release [79], providing a rationale for a causative role of androgen deficiency in the aetiogenesis of OAB. Testosterone replacement has been shown to improve LUTS in male patients, unfortunately without detailed analysis of storage symptoms [80].

3.2.4. Urinary microbiota

Following advances in culture methods, recent data suggested that the human urinary tract contains microbial communities referred to as the urinary microbiota, challenging the old dogma that urine is usually sterile [81,82]. According to recent preliminary studies, the urinary microbiota may play a role in the pathogenesis of OAB, although the mechanisms underlying the causative relationship, as well as its possible therapeutic implications, are still unclear [82]. Bacterial DNA [83,84] and a higher load of bacteria [85] are more frequently detected in patients with UUI, with possibly decreased urinary microbiome diversity [83,85–87]. Some Lactobacillus spp. (eg, Lactobacillus crispatus) may be markers of a healthy female bladder with a possibly lower Lactobacillus load in patients with UUI [83,85]. Owing to their acid-producing qualities, Lactobacillus spp. may protect the lower urinary tract by controlling the growth of more virulent bacteria unable to survive in an acidic environment. While intravaginally administered Lactobacillus has shown promise in preventing recurrent UTIs, no studies to date have investigated the role of Lactobacillus probiotics in OAB [83]. Several preliminary studies have suggested a significant impact of the urinary microbiome on the outcomes of various OAB treatments such as antimuscarinics or intradetrusor botulinum toxin injection [84,85,88], with responders more likely to have fewer bacteria and a less diverse community at baseline in some of these studies [85]. This supports the idea that urinary microbiota-related OAB may not be treated effectively by conventional OAB treatments, although data from the three available studies are contradictory [88]. In a recent study, an aberrant urinary microbiome with less diversity was found to be positively correlated with higher levels of depression and anxiety [87], which suggests that urinary microbiota may have the same potential as the gut microbiota to communicate with the brain, notably eliciting central sensitisation. Hence, like the well-established brain-gut-microbiota axis, a brain-bladder-microbiota axis might also exist. Whether this axis could involve the same mechanisms of bidirectional communication (neurotransmitter release, immune system stimulation, etc.) and be integrated as part of a more global brain-gut-bladder microbiota axis through central sensitisation warrants further investigation, but could provide a better understanding of OAB syndrome (see Section 3.2.5). In addition it is clear that in clinical practice UTIs are usually characterised by significant bladder storage symptoms.

3.2.5. Functional gastrointestinal disorders

The bladder and colorectum have the same embryological origin, both developing from the cloaca, and thus share spinally derived neural pathways with dichotomised afferents innervating both organs and converging at a single dorsal ganglion root, which allows mechanisms of communication between the bladder and the colon known as cross-talk [89]. These common neural pathways may also be the drivers of cross-sensitisation defined as sensitisation of afferent nerves of one of the pelvic organs due to an acute insult in the other [89]. The co-occurrence of urological and gastrointestinal functional disorders reported in numerous studies is thought to be underpinned at least partly by the pelvic organ cross-talk and cross-sensitisation mechanisms [89,90].

Several reports have suggested bidirectional relationships between OAB and faecal incontinence or constipation [89,90]. However, the gastrointestinal condition that has most frequently been inter-related to OAB is irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) [89–91], with a prevalence of IBS as high as 33.3% in patients with OAB [92], both disorders being causative factors through peripheral and central sensitisation, which would support the idea of a brain-gut-bladder axis [68,69]. This central sensitisation might be triggered by pelvic organ cross-sensitisation, with activation of peripheral neural pathways leading to an amplification of signalling in the spinal cord and brain [90]. However, some authors have recently suggested that central sensitisation could be a primary dysfunction affecting the co-occurrence of both gastrointestinal and urological functional disorders [68]. This central sensitisation might be triggered by pelvic organ cross-sensitisation, with activation of peripheral neural pathways leading to an amplification of signalling in the spinal cord and brain [90]. However, some authors have recently suggested that central sensitisation could be a primary dysfunction affecting the co-occurrence of both gastrointestinal and urological functional disorders [68]. This central sensitisation might be triggered by pelvic organ cross-sensitisation, with activation of peripheral neural pathways leading to an amplification of signalling in the spinal cord and brain [90]. However, some authors have recently suggested that central sensitisation could be a primary dysfunction affecting the co-occurrence of both gastrointestinal and urological functional disorders [68]. This central sensitisation might be triggered by pelvic organ cross-sensitisation, with activation of peripheral neural pathways leading to an amplification of signalling in the spinal cord and brain [90]. However, some authors have recently suggested that central sensitisation could be a primary dysfunction affecting the co-occurrence of both gastrointestinal and urological functional disorders [68].
Treatments targeting the bladder or colorectum have been reported to improve or worsen functional disorders in the other organ [89,90], which may support the use of treatments that have been proved to target both the bladder and the bowel in this OAB phenotype, such as SNM or PTNS [94,95]. Recent studies have indicated the potential role of SNM/PTNS in treating IBS in patients with affective disorders [96], and selected data also support the role of SNM/PTNS in treating social stress-induced OAB [30,66]. Through this logic, duloxetine may also be a future therapeutic option in the management of patients with “brain-gut-bladder axis–OAB” by targeting central sensitisation. Treatments aimed at interfering with neurogenic inflammation underlying pelvic organ cross-sensitisation such as TRPV1 receptor antagonists or α1-adrenoceptor antagonists might also be interesting therapeutic approaches to evaluate in this population.

3.2.6. Autonomic nervous system dysfunction

Sympathetic, parasympathetic, and somatic nerves are well-known determinants of lower urinary tract physiological functioning [8,14] and are altered in several neurological conditions proven to be associated with lower urinary tract dysfunction (eg, Parkinsonism, multiple sclerosis) [97]. Blanc et al. [98] were the first authors to hypothesise that subclinical autonomic nervous system dysfunction may be a causative factor of “idiopathic” OAB. A few years later, Choi et al. [99] confirmed the hypothesis of an autonomic imbalance associated with OAB. More comprehensive insights into this autonomic balance dysfunction were emphasised by Hubeaux et al. [100] by assessing heart rate variability during filling cystometry. They reported the predominance of parasympathetic activity when the bladder was empty and a preponderance of sympathetic activity at the end of bladder filling in women with OAB [100], which could suggest that bladder filling induces a global sympathetic response in women with OAB. Interestingly, the same research group initiated another study, which demonstrated that sympathetic dysfunction might be predominant over parasympathetic dysfunction in OAB patients and that OAB patients with autonomic dysfunction may be less likely to exhibit DO on urodynamics [101]. While other studies demonstrated similar alterations of sympathetic activity in OAB patients [102], an association between OAB and increased parasympathetic activation has also been reported [103]. Interestingly, in a recent study, it was reported that antimuscarinics may decrease parasympathetic dysfunction while improving OAB symptoms in these patients [103]. In contrast, a recent report suggested that sympathetic dysfunction in OAB patients could predict a poor response to antimuscarinics and suggested a possible role of β3 agonists to restore impaired sympathetic efferent pathway activation eliciting detrusor muscle inhibition [104]. The various autonomic testing tools available may then help tailor first-line treatment in OAB patients.

3.3. How to identify the phenotypes

Currently, the various OAB subtypes are mostly identified through an exjuvantibus management, failure of first-line treatments narrowing down the search towards the actual mechanisms/aetiologies, and proper treatment [5]. Hypothetical approaches to distinguish the different OAB patterns are outlined below, supporting a “prism” approach (Fig. 1). These assumptions regarding the diagnostic approach to these multiple OAB subtypes as well as their speculative therapeutic implications are displayed in Fig. 2. Hypotheses on the diagnostic approach are put forward below, but are not meant to replace the European Association of Urology, American Urological Association, and International Consultation on Incontinence evidence-based guidelines in daily practice for now [105–107].

Fig. 2 – The diagnosis “prism” approach of OAB phenotypes. The new diagnostic approach should seek for the underlying pathophysiological phenotypes, which could probably be achieved through a thorough clinical examination (though the clinical hallmarks of some phenotypes are still to be identified), eventually associated with urodynamics and other testing in selected cases. OAB = overactive bladder.
cause (see Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.4). In contrast, bladder
involvement may suggest urgency originating from the
urethra [21–27]. The diagnosis of detrusor underactivity
as a contributing factor of storage symptoms could be made
from the urodynamic study [40–43]. However, the clinical
relevance of such urodynamic phenotyping of OAB is
questionable, as several series have reported similar efficacy
of various OAB treatments in patients with or without DO
[9]. Given the possible lack of statistical power and poor
design of most of these studies, as well as the absence of
data regarding the impact of more complex urodynamic
features on treatment outcomes, the role of urodynamics in
the diagnosis of OAB phenotypes would require further
evaluation.

3.3.3. Is further “futuristic” diagnostic testing needed?
Hypothetically, some more complex testing might be of
interest to identify OAB phenotypes; measurement of
serum and urine concentrations of stress-related hormones
such as CRF or cortisol may be used in the future to diagnose
stress-induced OAB [4,62]. Measuring serum testosterone
may help detect androgen deficiency as a contributor of OAB
in elderly men [71]. Inflammatory markers such as serum C-
reactive protein may be of interest to identify whether
inflammation is involved in the pathophysiology of OAB
[48,49,89,110].

Functional brain imaging eventually coupled with
urodynamics may provide supraspinal markers of the
different “brain” OAB subtypes [33–35,111,112].

Urinary markers involved in LUTS pathophysiology have
widely been studied over the past 10 yr [113] and could
theoretically point towards some OAB subtypes (eg,
increased urinary levels of nerve growth factor and brain-
derived neurotrophic factor may indicate urothelial/sub-
urothelial “sensory” dysfunction or central sensitisation)
[4,12–15,68,113,114]. Finally, 16S RNA sequencing and
expanded quantitative urine culture could help in identifying
the urinary microbiota [82].

While the interest of this elaborated testing in clinical
research is obvious to enhance the understanding of the
multiple pathophysiological contributors of OAB, their role
in daily practice in the future is unlikely due to practical and
cost-effectiveness issues.

4. Discussion

This report provides a comprehensive overview of OAB
pathophysiology in an attempt to clarify the complexity
underlying the concept of “idiopathic” OAB and to plead for
a shift towards the more accurate nomenclature of
multifactorial OAB. Clearly different mechanisms could
provoke the sensation of urinary urgency. Increasingly, the
evidence over the past few years has shown that metabolic
syndrome [48], affective disorders [62], sex hormone
deficiency [71], urinary microbiota [82], gastrointestinal
functional disorders [89], and subclinical autonomic ner-
vous system dysfunction [100] may all be associated with
OAB, and that OAB could have its own specific pathophysi-
ology within all these frameworks. We feel that a “prism”
spectrum approach could help identify these various OAB
pathophysiological features. Numerous clues in the litera-
ture indicate that such phenotyping of OAB may translate
into improved treatment decision making and outcomes
despite data being too scarce currently to assert that each
phenotype would need a specific treatment algorithm. It is
important to stress that these OAB subtypes are clearly not
mutually exclusive and that they likely commonly overlap.
This may provide a robust rationale for combination
therapy, as it could tackle several mechanisms increasing
the chance of therapeutic success.
Our report has several limitations. Although the ideas presented here have arisen from peer-reviewed publications and reached consensus among a panel of recognised international experts in the field, the new concept is not based on high-level evidence studies. Nevertheless, we believe that the data presented here could be strong enough to challenge the relevance of the treatment algorithm described in current international guidelines [105,106]. Another drawback is that translating these concepts into daily clinical practice will require additional investigations that may be hampered by the lack of a standardised definition of the various OAB pathophysiological features as well as the lack of consensual techniques to diagnose these key contributing factors. Some exploratory therapeutic strategies of OAB such as antidiuresis with desmopressin could not be addressed in the way the present manuscript was designed, which could be regarded as a shortcoming [115]. Although we decided to exclude the role of bladder outlet obstruction and bladder pain syndrome in our hypotheses, this could be regarded as a significant limitation to the present review. This decision was made because the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying OAB symptoms in these clinical scenarios were deemed to largely overlap those of some phenotypes presented herein (myogenic, urotheliogenic, and detrusor underactivity for bladder outlet obstruction; affective disorders, urinary microbiota, and functional gastrointestinal disorders for bladder pain syndrome). However, one could consider that bladder outlet obstruction and bladder pain syndrome would fulfil the criteria to be considered as two additional OAB subtypes, especially with regard to their distinct therapeutic algorithms. Finally, the decision to consider equally OAB subtypes based on well-established pathophysiological mechanisms and those defined by the existence of pathophysiological cofactors could be a matter of debate, as in the latter case, one could question the link between each of these comorbidities and OAB symptoms in a given patient.

5. Conclusions

There is not one single form of OAB syndrome but rather several OAB phenotypes based on the underlying mechanisms and pathophysiological cofactors, supporting a paradigm shift in OAB towards treatment strategies that could be tailored to individual patient characteristics (Fig. 3). We believe that new studies should assess the outcomes of the current OAB treatments for each different OAB subpopulation, leading to more personalised medical approaches, particularly as we progress into the era of combination pharmacotherapy. The urological community, research organisations, health insurances, and governmental health authorities should promote studies looking at a personalised approach to OAB patients. Clearly from a public health standpoint, the cost effectiveness of a tailored versus a “one size fits all” treatment approach is evident.

---

**Fig. 3** – Paradigm shift in OAB management. (A) Current treatment algorithm. The current treatment strategies rely upon therapy invasiveness and cost rather than the appropriateness to patients’ and symptom characteristics. (B) An idea of what the future treatment algorithm could look like, identifying underpinning mechanism to tailor treatment to individual patients’ characteristics. What this figure does not perfectly reflect is that those phenotypes are not mutually exclusive and that they likely commonly overlap with certainly an interesting role for combination therapy. AM = antimuscarinics; B3AG = beta-3 agonists; BFD = biofeedback; BHT = behavioural therapy; BTX = intradetrusor botulinum toxin injections; CIC = clean intermittent catheterisation; DLX = duloxetine; MUS = midurethral sling; OAB = overactive bladder; OMG = obesity management; PDE5i = phosphodiesterase inhibitors type 5; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; PTNS = posterior tibial nerve stimulation; SNM = sacral neuromodulation; VOT = vaginal oestrogen therapy.
particularly based on the poor long-term compliance with existing pharmacotherapy.
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